

**Manchester City Council
Report for Information**

Report to: Our Manchester Voluntary and Community Sector Fund Task and Finish Group – 12 July 2018

Subject: Our Manchester Voluntary and Community Sector Fund Information

Report of: Programme Lead

Summary

This note sets out for Members:

1. A description of the OMVCS assessment process
2. A summary of the discussion of the OMVCS Programme Board
3. The assessment criteria
4. Lists of funded and unfunded groups, including those on the reserve list and those currently funded which did not apply
5. A timeline for the grant programme
6. A 'heat map' showing the concentration of voluntary sector activity (funded by this programme only) by ward, relative to the population.

OMVCS funding is £2,467,054 a year for 3 years (£7.4m in total). The Council also funds the voluntary sector through a wide variety of other grants and contracts across the Council. Examples include contracts to provide Housing Related Support, strategic grants to large arts organisations, grants to cultural organisations and small grants for sports and campaigning activity (hate Crime Awareness, International Women's Day etc.) The total amount of Council funding to the voluntary sector is estimated to be over £30m.

Recommendation

To note the report.

Wards Affected: All

Contact Officer:

Name: Michael Salmon
Position: Programme Lead
Telephone: 0161 234 4557
E-mail: m.salmon@manchester.gov.uk

1. Description of OMVCS assessment process

Assessment Panel Members

Val Bayliss-Brideaux	Senior Engagement Manager, Manchester Health and Care Commissioning (MHCC)
Keiran Barnes	Equalities Team Leader, MCC
Paul Johnston	Commissioning Development Specialist, MHCC
Mark Rainey	Strategic Lead – Neighbourhoods (South), MCC
Kirsten Blackwood	Deputy Chief Executive (Finance & Resources) Salford CVS
Michael Salmon	Commissioning Manager, Mental Health, MHCC

Summary

The panel's independent (non-voting) chair, Nigel Rose (Macc) ensured the panel carried out its assessment robustly, in accordance with the results of the extensive co-design and consultation process that resulted in the published prospectus and guidance.

The panel kept in mind throughout the assessment process the aim of the programme to

“support voluntary and community sector organisations to carry out activities that make an important contribution to meeting the outcomes of the Our Manchester Strategy in accordance with the Our Manchester Principles”

and that the grant programme is focused on:

“supporting the work of organisations that are well run and can demonstrate a track record of effective work with Manchester citizens”.

The panel examined each application for eligibility. If the application was eligible the panel searched for evidence for the following 3 sets of criteria. (Listed in detail on p7)

1. How the organisation is run (40%)
 - a. Well-run
 - b. Involvement of Manchester Citizens and their beneficiaries
 - c. Collaborative
 - d. Diverse Income
 - e. Coherent and Convincing

2. How services are organised (40%)
 - a. Successful
 - b. Strength-based approach in existing activities
 - c. Vision and Values
 - d. Proposed Activities
 - e. Outcomes
 - f. Coherent and Convincing

3. Value for money (20%)
 - a. Value for money
 - b. Additional Income

In all cases the panel, after discussion, was able to reach a reasonably consistent view of the evidence presented within the application form. In line with the guidance and prospectus, the panel excluded applications that fell below an acceptable quality threshold from further consideration.

Results

Total amount requested from the fund	£15,241,583
Total amount allocated is	£7,399,961
Unallocated amount is	£1,201
Number of funded organisations	63
Number of funded organisations currently funded	50
Number of new organisations funded	13
Number of organisations on the reserve list for funding	4
Number of organisations currently funded not funded	12
Number of applications not funded	46

Process in detail:

Initial Sifting

144 applications were received. The Resources and Programmes Team undertook the first sifting of the applications against the following eligibility criteria

- Have they applied for the correct amount of grant. Those organisations that are not currently funded from the funds brought together, are only eligible to apply for the medium grant
- Applying for mainly youth related activities was ineligible as the Youth Fund was being launched
- Applications below the minimum word count allowable which was 20%

Following the assessment and a moderation exercise, 30 applications or 21% were deemed ineligible for further consideration.

This left 114 applications for consideration by the panel, a duplicate application leaving 113.

Panel Assessment

The panel spent a total of 28.5 hours over 6 days considering their decision. This does not include the time spent individually by panel members assessing applications before the panel began or in the half-day briefing meeting.

Step 1

Each panel member individually ranked all applications and recorded the reasons for their decisions on an assessment form.

Step 2

Applications where there was a high degree of consensus in scoring were allocated either to definitely funded, possibly funded or of such a poor quality they could not be funded.

Step 3

All applications where there was not a reasonable degree of consensus in rankings were systematically reconsidered by the panel looking for evidence to support each element of the assessment criteria. This process took most of the time of the panel and in almost every case a reasonable degree of consensus was reached about the evidence or lack of evidence and the rankings were adjusted accordingly. This process was carried out for approximately 90 applications.

Records were made of every decision for the purpose of audit, feedback and future development work by liaison officers.

Step 4

Of the 6 rankings by panel members the lowest ranking and highest ranking were removed and the remaining rankings were averaged. All applications were then listed in order.

Step 5

Organisations, who are currently funded, asked for increases from 0% to almost 400%.

The prospectus and publicity around the fund made it clear organisations could ask for an increase (except for organisations who applied for very large grants) but that there was no increase in overall programme funding, so requests for extra funding should be kept at reasonable levels.

After extensive discussion, it was decided that increases in funding to individual organisations currently receiving funding should be limited to £60,000 as this meant that almost all organisations would be given the funding they asked for and it also meant that a few organisations that had existing funding could now be included, without lowering the bar to an unacceptable level. The capping at £60,000 resulted in a saving of £453,948 and an additional 3 applications being funded.

Additionally the panel set a minimum ranking order at which new (not currently funded) applications could be funded. These applications had to be of a high quality and meet all criteria to a reasonable extent in line with the balancing criteria of “avoiding damaging disruption to Manchester’s voluntary and community sector by withdrawing funding from large numbers of organisations that are presently funded”.

Step 6

The panel then considered the list of applications that could be funded given the size of the fund in ranking order and considered the following balancing criteria

1. Equitably distributed across neighbourhoods and across communities of identity and the objectives of the fund
2. Level of funding for carers support maintained near to present levels.

After detailed discussion and in the expert view of the panel (which included leads on equality, carers and neighbourhoods) these criteria were broadly met, by funding in ranking order, with the caveat that the size of the fund, and the quality of the applications received, means it is not possible to fund groups in every neighbourhood and to serve every community of identity.

The resulting programme was presented to the Programme Board for consideration on 4th December 2017

Programme Board Members

Cllr Sue Murphy (chair)	Deputy Leader, Manchester City Council
Sara Todd	Deputy Chief Executive, MCC
Dr. Carolyn Kus*	Executive Director of Commissioning and Director of Adult Social Care Services
Mike Wild	Chief Executive, Macc
Nick Gomm	Director of Corporate Affairs, MHCC
Sharmila Kar*	Director of Workforce and Organisational Development MHCC
Jane Gant	Strategic Director People and Places Southway Housing
Beth Plant*	Policy and Learning Manager Big Lottery Fund

*Apologies

2. Summary of the OMVCS Programme Board discussion

- The Board held a lengthy discussion regarding those groups who currently receive funding, where the assessment panel did not recommend funding under the OM VCS Programme.

- The Board was concerned that all groups in this situation be supported to work through the impact of the loss of funding on their organisation, and most importantly on their service users.
- The Board noted the Decommissioning Guide, agreed by the Council with the voluntary sector in 2011. The guide provides a structured, collaborative approach to understanding the full impact of funding withdrawal. This includes the impact on service users and carers, service delivery and the organisation as a whole. Officers will meet with organisations to have face to face discussions. Fundamental to the approach, is ensuring organisations are given the time and space to talk through the impacts and are given adequate notice of the withdrawal of funding. In this case organisations will be given more than three months. Relevant officers are meeting on 11th December to work through the detail of this process which will begin in early January *
- The Board discussed the reserve list and agreed the 4 organisations should be approached, in ranking order, should any of the organisations recommended for funding not pass the due diligence process.
- The Board noted the process for due diligence, which involves Members of the Council, officers and where relevant, MHCC staff. Any issues of concern raised about groups by any individual must be supported by evidence. The Board asked for a report on the outcome of the due diligence process, which is expected to conclude in February 2018. This report should also highlight lessons learned on the process, for future implementation.
- The Board agreed that all Members be provided with the list of provisionally funded groups. At the same time Members to be asked (as set out in the due diligence process) if they know of any reasons, supported by evidence, why groups should not be funded.
- All Members to also be sent the list of currently funded groups, who will not receive funding through the OMVCS fund from 1st April 2018.

*A copy of the Decommissioning template forms are attached below as a reference to the areas that will be covered through conversations with the organisations.

In conclusion, the Board confirmed it was content the assessment process that led to the recommended programme was robust and had been properly followed, and so supported the assessment panel's recommendations for provisional offers to be made to organisations.

In doing so, the Board noted that the OMVCS funding process has been about building a strong, resilient and effective voluntary sector in Manchester. Inevitably, it has highlighted areas of the city, and certain communities, where voluntary sector capacity is stronger than elsewhere.

The Programme Board requested urgent further analysis to deepen our understanding of the underlying reasons for this disparity, to enable the new programme team for this funding, and others, to develop plans to address gaps.

3. Assessment Criteria

Set 1 - How the Organisation is Run – 40%

Well-run	Does the organisation have a functioning board Does the organisation have staff with appropriate skills to carry out their activities? Does the organisation have a reasonably clear vision for where it is going? Does the organisation have ways of collecting stakeholder feedback? Does the organisation act on the feedback it receives?
Involvement of Manchester Citizens and beneficiaries	To what extent are Manchester Citizens involved in a voluntary capacity in the governance of work carried out by the organisation in Manchester? To what extent are Manchester Citizens involved in a voluntary capacity in delivering services? To what extent are people who are beneficiaries of the organisation involved in a voluntary capacity, in governance? To what extent are people who are involved in activities involved in a voluntary capacity in delivering services? To what extent does the organisation employ people who have been involved in their activities or who have experience of having used similar activities?
Collaborative	To what extent does the organisation collaborate with private, voluntary and public organisations locally and nationally in providing activities, sharing back office functions, devising strategy and policy, and planning activities?
Diverse Income	Does the organisation have a diverse range of income streams such that that they would be able to successfully continue if one or more of those streams ended?
Coherent and Convincing	Having considered all of the factors above, to what extent to they form a coherent and convincing narrative?

Set 2 – How Services are organised – 40%

Successful	To what extent has the organisation demonstrated a record of success in activities with Manchester Citizens?
------------	--

Strength-based approach in existing activities	<p>To what extent are people participating in activities involved in defining their own outcomes and in planning how to reach those outcomes?</p> <p>To what extent are people participating in activities enabled to identify their strengths and capabilities and how to use them to meet their outcomes?</p> <p>To what extent are people participating in activities encouraged and enabled to use other organisations, activities and resources in meeting their needs?</p> <p>To what extent does the organisation encourage and enable peer support?</p>
Vision and values	<p>To what extent are the vision and values of the organisation put into practice in their existing activities?</p>
Proposed Activities	<p>Are the proposed activities the same as successful existing activities</p> <p>If the activities are significantly different to the proposed activities: To what extent is the development</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Aimed at communities that the organisation already has links with? 2. Using the same general methodology that the organisation has shown success in? 3. Using connections, collaborations and partnership that are in place? 4. Consistent with the vision of where the organisation is going? 5. Based on evidence?
Outcomes	<p>Are the outcomes clearly linked to the objectives?</p> <p>Are the outcomes clear and specific?</p> <p>Do the outcomes clearly link to the proposed activities?</p>
Coherent and Convincing	<p>Having considered all of the factors above, to what extent do they form a coherent and convincing narrative?</p>

Set 3 - Value for Money – 20%

Value for money	<p>Are there any unreasonable costs?</p>
Additional income	<p>To what extent does the organisation raise additional income to support Manchester Citizens?</p>

4. Funding lists

Organisations to be offered provisional funding in alphabetical order (*newly funded)

Organisation	Proposed funding (3 year total)	Running Total
4CT Ltd	224,829	224,829
African Caribbean Care Group	89,916	314,745
Age Concern Manchester (Trading as Age UK Manchester)	114,000	428,745
Albert Kennedy Trust	57,000	485,745
All Arts & Media trading as ALL FM*	32,400	518,145
Alzheimer's Society	129,999	648,144
Assist Neighbourhood Care	110,700	758,844
Back on Track*	60,000	818,844
Barlow Moor Community Association Ltd	174,152	992,996
Benchill Community Centre	134,100	1,127,096
Burnage Good Neighbours	132,827	1,259,923
Captain J H Greenhalgh Legacy CIC/Wythenshawe Good Neighbours	84,000	7,399,961
Caritas Dioceses of Salford	153,900	1,413,823
Cheetham Hill Advice Centre*	55,179	1,469,002
Chorlton Good Neighbours (CGN)	150,000	1,619,002
Coffee4Craig*	10,000	1,629,002
Connect Support Ltd	167,508	1,796,510
Didsbury Good Neighbours	70,200	1,866,710
EMERGE (FareShare Greater Manchester)*	59,941	1,926,651
Fallowfield Library & Community Resource Centre (The Place at Platt Lane)*	60,000	1,986,651
Friends of Burnage Library*	53,800	2,040,451

Gaydio CIC	54,000	2,094,451
George House Trust	78,476	2,172,927
Greater Manchester Coalition for Disabled People	119,560	2,292,487
Healthy Me Healthy Communities Ltd*	60,000	2,352,487
Higher Blackley Community Organisation	84,000	2,436,487
Hulme Community Garden Centre	74,880	2,511,367
JustLife Foundation*	60,000	2,571,367
Levenshulme Inspire	72,900	2,644,267
LGBT Foundation Ltd	161,094	2,805,361
Manchester Digital Laboratory CIC Ltd (Madlab)	107,100	2,912,461
Manchester Action on Street Health	91,635	3,004,096
Manchester Bangladeshi Women's Organisation	215,300	3,219,396
Manchester Carers Centre	241,338	3,460,734
Manchester Carers Forum	300,000	3,760,734
Manchester Deaf Centre	120,000	3,880,734
Manchester Mens' Room*	45,912	3,926,646
Manchester Mind	641,918	4,568,564
Manchester Rape Crisis	150,000	4,718,564
Manchester Refugee Support Network	119,819	4,838,383
Manchester Settlement	115,000	4,953,383
Medical Foundation for the Care of Victims of Torture (Freedom From Torture)*	59,400	5,012,783
Moodswings	79,380	5,092,163
Nephra Good Neighbours	35,625	5,127,788
Northmoor Community Association	113,556	5,241,344

People First Housing Association	83,700	5,325,044
Rainbow Haven*	60,000	5,385,044
Royal Oak and Baguley Residents Association	45,000	5,430,044
Saheli	107,580	5,537,624
Shopmobility	45,000	5,582,624
Stroke Association	107,488	5,690,112
Talbot House Support Centre	93,000	5,783,112
The Proud Trust	108,000	5,891,112
TLC St Luke's	90,834	5,981,946
Together Dementia Support CIC*	60,000	6,041,946
The Tree of Life Centre	91,531	6,133,477
Trinity House Community Resource Centre	141,000	6,274,477
Turkey Lane & Monsall Neighbourhood Centre	60,000	6,334,477
Venture Arts	80,213	6,414,690
Wai Yin Society	615,701	7,030,391
Whalley Range Community Forum	106,710	7,137,101
Whitemoss Club for Young People	60,000	7,197,101
Women in Prison	118,860	7,315,961

63

Reserve list of organisations in ranking order

Salvation Army Housing Association	£ 60,000
African Caribbean Mental Health Services	£ 43,974
St Georges Community Association	£ 60,000
Himmat	£ 222,669

4

Unfunded currently supported groups

Organisation
BHA for Equality
Faith Network for Manchester (FN4M)
Harpurhey Neighbourhood Project Society Ltd
Indian Senior Citizens Centre
Irish Community Care Manchester
Levenshulme Good Neighbours
Lifted Greater Manchester AddVentures Project
LMCP Care Link
NEESA Well Women Drop In Project
North Manchester Black Health Forum
Somali Adult Social Care Agency
Federation of Jewish Services
Wythenshawe Community Housing Group

Currently funded groups that did not apply

Organisation
42 nd Street
Admiral Nurses
Awaaz
Birch Community Centre
MCCR
Simply Cycling
Wythenshawe Oasis

5. Timeline

- March 2016: Council agreed to extend relevant VCS grants and contracts until March 2017 (Equalities Fund, Community Associations, Mental Health, Carers, Health and Wellbeing)
- April 2016: Single Outcome, Single Finance and Single Monitoring forms introduced for the five programmes above
- August - September 2016: New approach to VCS funding workshops (3) take place with VCS partners (North, Central, South)
- 7th September 2016: Discussion at Members Voluntary Sector Interest Group
- October 2016 Co-design group established with VCSE representatives from across the city
- October 2016 - June 2017 Co-design group works through structured process to develop models for consultation and then works to refine and propose new model
- 4th January 2017: Communities & Equalities Scrutiny Committee progress report includes update on co-design and new models for consultation
- January - February 2017: Consultation on new approach/models: online and through 3 open workshops (North, South, Central)
- February - April 2017: Co-design group sessions building on consultation findings
- March 2017: VCS extended until December 2017
- 14th June 2017: Briefing and Q&A with Members of former Voluntary Sector Interest Group
- 22nd June 2017: Communities & Equalities Scrutiny Committee progress report includes new model, extension to infrastructure contract and development of new Programme Team.
- 28th June 2017: Executive Committee approval of Our Manchester VCS Funding Programme
- June 2017: VCS grants extended to 31st March 2018
- 7th July 2017: Briefing and Q&A with Lead Equality Members
- 21st July 2017: Inaugural meeting of OMVCS Programme Board
- 4th September 2017: Our Manchester VCS Funding Programme open to application
- 7th September 2017: Launch event in the Great Hall
- September to October 2017: Online application period (6 weeks)
- September - October 2017: Application writing/support workshops run by Macc
- 16th October 2017: application process closes
- October - November 2017: Assessment Panel scoring and meetings
- December 2017: Programme Lead and Programme officers recruited
- 4th December 2017: Programme Board: Recommendations approved
- 12th December 2017: All Elected Members informed of funding decisions and invited to respond to specific questions up to 22nd December 2017
- 12th December 2017: All applicants (successful and unsuccessful) informed of decisions and provided with information on requesting feedback
- 11th December 2017: Lead officers for all existing funded groups meet to discuss decommissioning process

- 21st December 2017: Unsuccessful organisations (currently funded) contacted and informed of follow up meetings with officers to be arranged in January 2018
- December 2017: Liaison Officers (due diligence) briefing session
- December 2017 - January 2018: Further gap analysis and information produced for Programme Board
- January - February 2018: Due diligence process to be completed
- January - February 2018: Decommissioning visits/ongoing conversations to take place with providers
- January/February 2018: Full Programme Team to commence working
- March 2018: Next Programme Board meeting
- March 2018: Grant agreements finalised and signed
- April 2018: Grants go live
- April 2018 - March 2021: Programme funding period, subject to grant monitoring and review

Decommissioning - Impact Assessment Form (A)

Part A - To be completed by the Council designated officer and sent to organisations at risk of decommissioning together with Part B of the form.

Part B - To be completed by the organisation and returned to the Council by the deadline provided below. The form should be typed and returned by email unless reasons are provided why this cannot be done.

Part A	To be completed by commissioner	
Directorate		
Service Area or Funding Programme		
Lead Commissioner		
Designated officer (if different)		
Designated officer telephone		
Designated officer email		
Primary Client Group		
Reason for Impact Assessment	Funding to cease from 31.3.2018	
Service Provider – Organisation		
Service Name (if different)		
Summary of Service funded by this grant or contract		
Term of funding	From:	To:
Contract or grant value (per annum)	£	Grant per annum
	£	Contract value per annum
DEADLINE for return of part B		
Return by email to:		

Decommissioning - Impact Assessment Form (B)

ORGANISATION IMPACT ASSESSMENT FORM

Before completing this form please check you have Part A for your organisation. This includes the deadline by which this form needs to be returned. The information you provide may be used to develop a risk management plan should this be necessary. The information will be considered confidential under the data protection act i.e. we will not give information about you to anyone outside Manchester City Council, or use information about you for any other purpose, as stipulated in the Data Protection Act 1998.

Part B: Impact assessment	To be completed by service provider.
Name of Organisation	
Contact in organisation	
Telephone number	
Email	
Service Area or Funding Programme	
Annual funding from the Council	£
I confirm this form is completed to the best of my knowledge and is true and accurate.	Name: Date:
Do you have service users you see as vulnerable or at risk? If yes, please complete Section 1	Please type Yes or No:
If this Council funding ends will the service close? If yes, complete Section 2	Please type Yes or No:
Do you have partners or stakeholders? If yes, please complete Section 3	Please type Yes or No:
SECTION 1	VULNERABLE SERVICE USERS
What vulnerable or at risk client groups use the service? Why are they considered vulnerable or at risk?	
Do you know if these service users have individual support plans? If so how many?	
Do your service users have carers in addition to your project?	
How many service users currently access the service supported by this funding?	

How many of these service users have on-going support needs?	
Does the service target their support or activities towards people with any particular protected characteristics'?	Race: Type Yes or No:
	Gender: Type Yes or No:
	Disability: Type Yes or No:
	Sexuality: Type Yes or No:
	Age: Type Yes or No:
	Religion and Belief: Type Yes or No:
Copy and complete the following for each equality area affected	
Equality Area Affected (e.g. race)	
How does the service make a positive impact?	
How is this evidenced?	
Who are your main partners in meeting the needs of your service users? E.g. NHS, Police, Adult Services, Volunteers etc.	
What mitigating actions are possible e.g. will other partners or business planning be able to fill the gap?	
Note: Following assessment, the Council may need you to provide more information to assess the needs of your service users	
SECTION 2	FINANCIAL AND STAFFING ISSUES
What is your organisation's total annual income for this financial year?	£
What is the unrestricted funding held, as identified in your accounts at end of the last financial year?	£
Will withdrawal of this funding stream from the Council force your organisation to close?	
How many staff are directly employed by this funding?	
What are the staffing implications of withdrawal of this funding e.g. staff contract notice periods and redundancy payments	

SECTION 3	PARTNERS AND STAKEHOLDERS
Does this service have a matched funding arrangement? If yes please give details	
Will partner organisations you work with need to be informed if the service comes to an end? If yes, please give details	
If you identified partner funders or service providers, are you willing to provide the contact details so the Council can inform them of this process?	Please type Yes or No:
SECTION 4	RISKS
Are there any other risks to service users, the service and/or organisation not covered previously on this form? If yes please give details	

Our Manchester VCS Grant Programme – Funded Organisations 2018

The following information is based on the main focus of organisations delivery funded via the OMVCS programme.

Organisation	Service Delivery (Ward Coverage)	Community of Interest	Funding (3 year total)	Running Total
4CT Ltd	Ancoats & Beswick	Community Hub(s)	224,829	224,829
African Caribbean Care Group	Hulme, Moss Side	Carers / Older People	89,916	314,745
Age Concern Manchester (Trading as Age UK Manchester)	Citywide	Older People	120,000	434,745
Albert Kennedy Trust	Citywide	Homelessness / LGBT	57,000	491,745
All Arts & Media trading as ALL FM*	Levenshulme, Citywide	Older People	32,400	524,145
Alzheimer's Society	Citywide	Older People	129,999	654,144
Assist Neighbourhood Care	Old Moat, Withington	Carers / Older People	110,700	764,844
Back on Track*	Citywide	Homelessness / Mental Health	60,000	824,844
Barlow Moor Community Association Ltd	Chorlton	Community Hub	174,152	998,996
Benchill Community Centre	Northenden	Community Hub	134,100	1,133,096
Burnage Good Neighbours	Burnage	Carers / Older People	132,827	1,265,923
Wythenshawe Good Neighbours (Captain J H Greenhalgh Legacy CIC)	Sharston	Carers / Older People	84,000	1,349,923
Caritas Dioceses of Salford		Older People	153,900	1,503,823
Cheetham Hill Advice Centre*	Cheetham	Advice	55,179	1,559,002
Chorlton Good Neighbours (CGN)	Chorlton	Carers / Older People	150,000	1,709,002
Coffee4Craig*	City Centre	Homelessness	10,000	1,719,002
Connect Support Ltd	Northenden	Carers	167,508	1,886,510
Didsbury Good Neighbours	Didsbury	Carers / Older People	70,200	1,956,710

EMERGE (FareShare Greater Manchester)*	Bradford, Citywide		59,941	2,016,651
Fallowfield Library & Community Resource Centre (The Place at Platt Lane)*	Fallowfield	Community Hub	60,000	2,076,651
Friends of Burnage Library*	Burnage	Community Hub	53,800	2,130,451
Gaydio CIC	Citywide	LGBT	54,000	2,184,451
George House Trust	Ardwick	People living with HIV	78,476	2,262,927
Greater Manchester Coalition for Disabled People	Citywide	Disabled People	119,560	2,382,487
Healthy Me Healthy Communities Ltd*	Ardwick, Miles Platting & Newton Heath, Rusholme		60,000	2,442,487
Higher Blackley Community Organisation	Higher Blackley	Community Hub	84,000	2,526,487
Hulme Community Garden Centre	Hulme	Community Hub	74,880	2,601,367
JustLife Foundation*	Ardwick, Bradford, Harpurhey, Whalley Range	Advice	60,000	2,661,367
Levenshulme Inspire	Levenshulme	Community Hub	72,900	2,734,267
LGBT Foundation Ltd	Citywide	LGBT	161,094	2,895,361
Manchester Digital Laboratory CIC Ltd (Madlab)	Citywide		107,100	3,002,461
Manchester Action on Street Health	Citywide	Female Sex Workers	91,635	3,094,096
Manchester Bangladeshi Women's Organisation	Longsight	BME Women	215,300	3,309,396
Manchester Carers Centre	Citywide	Carers	241,338	3,550,734
Manchester Carers Forum	Citywide	Carers	300,000	3,850,734
Manchester Deaf Centre	Citywide	Deaf People	120,000	3,970,734
Manchester Men's' Room*	City Centre	Male Sex Workers	45,912	4,016,646
Manchester Mind	Harpurhey, Hulme	Mental Health	641,918	4,658,564

Manchester Rape Crisis	Citywide	Women (affected by sexual violence)	150,000	4,808,564
Manchester Refugee Support Network	Moss Side, Citywide	Refugee / Asylum seekers	119,819	4,928,383
Manchester Settlement	Clayton & Openshaw	Community Hub	115,000	5,043,383
Medical Foundation for the Care of Victims of Torture (Freedom From Torture)*	City Centre, Citywide	Torture survivors	59,400	5,102,783
Moodswings	Ancoats & Beswick,	Mental Health	79,380	5,182,163
Nephra Good Neighbours	Moston	Community Hub	35,625	5,217,788
Northmoor Community Association	Ardwick, Longsight	Community Hub	113,556	5,331,344
North Manchester Black Health Forum	Cheetham	BME/ Older People	43,139	5,374,483
People First Housing Association	Hulme & surrounding	Older People	83,700	5,458,183
Rainbow Haven*	Gorton & Abbey Hey	Refugees / Asylum Seekers	60,000	5,518,183
Saheli	Fallowfield, Old Moat, Withington	Asian Women	107,580	5,625,763
Stroke Association	Citywide	People affected by Strokes	107,488	5,733,251
Talbot House Support Centre	Miles Platting & Newton Heath	Learning Disabilities	93,000	5,826,251
The Proud Trust	Citywide	LGBT+	108,000	5,934,251
TLC St Luke's	Ardwick, Longsight	Mental Health	90,834	6,025,085
Together Dementia Support CIC*	Hulme, Moss Side	People affected by Dementia	60,000	6,085,085
The Tree of Life Centre	Baguley, Brooklands, Northenden, Sharston, Woodhouse Park	Community Hub	91,531	6,176,616
Trinity House Community Resource Centre	Rusholme	Community Hub	141,000	6,317,616

Turkey Lane & Monsall Neighbourhood Centre	Harpurhey	Community Hub	60,000	6,377,616
Venture Arts	Hulme + outreach	Learning Disabilities	80,213	6,457,829
Wai Yin Society	Ancoats & Beswick, Ardwick, Cheetham	BME Community Hub(s)	615,701	7,073,530
Whalley Range Community Forum	Whalley Range	Community Hub	106,710	7,180,240
Whitemoss Club for Young People	Charlestown	Community Hub	60,000	7,240,240
Women in Prison	Citywide	Women (in the criminal justice system)	118,860	7,359,100
62				

*New